UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	District	of New	Jersey					
	United States of America v. JAMES GALLAWAY Defendant))))	Case No.	08-CR-188((RBK)			
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL								
Aft require that	ter conducting a detention hearing under the B the defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Refo	orm Act, 18 U	.S.C. § 3142(1	f), I conclude that the	se facts		
□ (1) The	Part I—Fe defendant is charged with an offense describe	F inding s ed in 18	s of Fact U.S.C. § 314	2(f)(1) and ha	s previously been co	nvicted		
of	\square a federal offense \square a state or local offense	fense tha	at would have	been a federa	al offense if federal			
j	jurisdiction had existed - that is							
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C for which the prison term is 10 years or me	2. § 3156 ore.	5(a)(4)or an or	ffense listed in	n 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)		
	\square an offense for which the maximum senten-	ce is dea	ath or life imp	risonment.				
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison te				ed in			
	-			r	*			
1	a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C)	d been con), or con	onvicted of tw nparable state	vo or more pri or local offer	ior federal offenses			
[☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence b	out invol	ves:					
	☐ a minor victim							
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or de	estructiv	e device or a	ny other dange	erous weapon			
				,				
	a randic to register under 16 U.S.C. 9	2250		The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a rederal, state release or local offense.				
□ (2) T	The offense described in finding (1) was comm		ile the defend	lant was on re	elease pending trial fo	or a		
fe	The offense described in finding (1) was commederal, state release or local offense.	itted wh						
fe □ (3) A	The offense described in finding (1) was commederal, state release or local offense. A period of less than five years has elapsed since	itted wh	ile the defend		elease pending trial fo			
fe ☐ (3) A fr ☐ (4) Fi	The offense described in finding (1) was commederal, state release or local offense.	itted whose the (1).	☐ date of co	onviction [☐ the defendant's rele	ease		
fe ☐ (3) A fr ☐ (4) Fi	The offense described in finding (1) was commederal, state release or local offense. A period of less than five years has elapsed since from prison for the offense described in finding indings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebut	itted where the stable properties that the stable properties the s	☐ date of content of date of content of date of date date of the desired of date	onviction [☐ the defendant's rele	ease		
fe ☐ (3) A fr ☐ (4) Fi sa	The offense described in finding (1) was commederal, state release or local offense. A period of less than five years has elapsed since from prison for the offense described in finding indings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebut after of another person or the community. If the second community is the second community in the second community.	itted where the stable prought t	□ date of contest date of contest date of contest date date date date date date date dat	onviction [on condition of the fendant has no	☐ the defendant's rele	ease		

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Case 1:08-cr-00188-RBK Document 51 Filed 03/17/16 Page 2 of 2 PageID: 531 AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

		District of New Jersey
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the puthe defendant's appearance and the	resumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defer	ndant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defer	ndant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		tatement of the Reasons for Detention
	ing evidence \Box a preponderance of	on submitted at the detention hearing establishes by
because	defendant did not satisfy his burden of	the Court's Oral Opinion, defendant's application for bail is DENIED f proof set forth in Fed. Crim. P. 32.1(a)(6). The reasons include, but are trength of the evidence, and defendant's history and characteristics.
	Don't III	Directions Describes Detention
		—Directions Regarding Detention
in a con pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent paper appeal. The defendant must be afforder	ody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody ed a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility a marshal for a court appearance.
Date:	3/17/2016	ha Lebila
_		Judge's Signature
		Hon. Joel Schneider, U.S.M.J.
		Name and Title